From:	Mark Dance, Cabinet Member for Economic Development
	Mike Hill, Cabinet Member for Community Services
	Barbara Cooper, Corporate Director for Growth, Environment & Transport
То:	Growth, Economic Development and Communities Cabinet Committee – 14 April 2015
Subject:	Risk Management - Strategic Risk Register
Classification:	Unrestricted

Past Pathway of Paper: None

Future Pathway of Paper: None

Electoral Division: All

Summary: This paper presents the strategic risks relating to the Growth, Economic Development and Communities Cabinet Committee, in addition to a risk featuring on the Corporate Risk Register for which the Corporate Director is the designated 'Risk Owner'. The paper also explains the management process for review of key risks.

Recommendation(s):

The Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the risks presented.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Directorate business plans are reported to Cabinet Committees each March / April as part of the Authority's business planning process. The plans include a high-level section relating to key directorate risks, which are set out in more detail in this paper.
- 1.2 Risk management is a key element of the Council's Internal Control Framework and the requirement to maintain risk registers ensures that potential risks that may prevent the Authority from achieving its objectives are identified and controlled. The process of developing the registers is therefore important in underpinning business planning, performance management and service procedures. Risks outlined in risk registers are taken into account in the development of the Internal Audit programme for the year.

- 1.3 Directorate risk registers are reported to Cabinet Committees annually, and contain strategic or cross-cutting risks that potentially affect several functions across the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate, and often have wider potential interdependencies with other services across the Council and external parties.
- 1.4 Corporate Directors also lead or coordinate mitigating actions in conjunction with other Directors across the organisation to manage risks featuring on the Corporate Risk Register. The Corporate Director for Growth, Environment & Transport directorate is designated 'Risk Owner' for several corporate risks, one of which (CRR 3 access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure) is of relevance to this Committee and is presented for comment in appendix 1.
- 1.5 A standard reporting format is used to facilitate the gathering of consistent risk information and a 5x5 matrix is used to rank the scale of risk in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact. Firstly the current level of risk is assessed, taking into account any controls already in place to mitigate the risk. If the current level of risk is deemed unacceptable, a 'target' risk level is set and further mitigating actions introduced with the aim of reducing the risk to a tolerable and realistic level.
- 1.6 The numeric score in itself is less significant than its importance in enabling categorisation of risks and prioritisation of any management action. Further information on KCC risk management methodologies can be found in the risk management guide on the KNet intranet site.

2. Financial Implications

2.1 Many of the strategic risks outlined have financial consequences, which highlight the importance of effective identification, assessment, evaluation and management of risk to ensure optimum value for money.

3. Strategic Priorities and Policy Framework

- 3.1 Risks highlighted in the risk registers relate to strategic priorities of the *Facing the Challenge* KCC transformation agenda and achievement of outcomes in KCC's Strategic Statement, as well as the delivery of statutory responsibilities.
- 3.2 The presentation of risk registers to Cabinet Committees is a requirement of the County Council's Risk Management Policy.

4. Risks relating to the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate

- 4.1 There are currently seven directorate risks featured on the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate risk register (appendix 2), none of which are rated as 'High'. One risk is currently being assessed relating to dependencies on ICT that affect key systems across the directorate. Many of the risks highlighted on the register are discussed implicitly as part of regular items to Cabinet Committees.
- 4.2 Since last reported to Cabinet Committee in September 2014, two risks have been assessed as increasing in severity (GET 03 – partner organisations / contractors not offering the required service; and GET 05 – response and resilience to severe weather incidents). New risks have been added relating to skills shortage and capacity issues to manage contracts and projects; and dependencies on ICT.
- 4.3 Inclusion of risks on this register does not necessarily mean there is a problem. On the contrary, it can give reassurance that they have been properly identified and are being managed proactively.
- 4.4 Monitoring & Review risk registers should be regarded as 'living' documents to reflect the dynamic nature of risk management. Directorate Management Teams formally review their risk registers, including progress against mitigating actions, on a quarterly basis as a minimum, although individual risks can be identified and added to the register at any time. Key questions to be asked when reviewing risks are:
 - Are the key risks still relevant?
 - Have some risks become issues?
 - Has anything occurred which could impact upon them?
 - Has the risk appetite or tolerance levels changed?
 - Are related performance / early warning indicators appropriate?
 - Are the controls in place effective?
 - Has the current risk level changed and if so is it decreasing or increasing?
 - Has the "target" level of risk been achieved?
 - If risk profiles are increasing what further actions might be needed?
 - If risk profiles are decreasing can controls be relaxed?
 - Are there risks that need to be discussed with or communicated to other functions across the Council or with other stakeholders?

5. Recommendation

Recommendation:

The Growth, Economic Development and Communities Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the directorate risk register and relevant corporate risk outlined in appendices 1 and 2.

6. Background Documents

6.1 KCC Risk Management Policy and guidance on KNet intranet site.

7. Contact details

Report Author

- Mark Scrivener
- Tel: 03000 416660
- Mark.scrivener@kent.gov.uk

Relevant Corporate Director:

- Barbara Cooper
- Tel: 03000 415981
- Barbara.cooper@kent.gov.uk

Appendix 1



KCC Corporate Risk Register

CORPORATE RISKS LED BY OFFICERS IN THE GROWTH ENVIRONMENT & TRANSPORT DIRECTORATE

Corporate Risks led by Officers in the Growth Environment & Transport Directorate Summary Risk Profile

Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High =16-25

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current	Target
		Risk	Risk
		Rating	Rating
CRR 3	Access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure	12	8

*Each risk is allocated a unique code, which is retained even if a risk is transferred off the Corporate Register. Therefore there will be some 'gaps' between risk IDs.

•

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

Likelihood & Impact Scales						
Likelihood	Very Unlikely (1)	Unlikely (2)	Possible (3)	Likely (4)	Very Likely (5)	
Impact	Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Significant (3)	Serious (4)	Major (5)	

Risk ID CRR3 Risk T	itle Access to resources to	aid economic growth and	l enabling infrastru	icture	
Risk IDCRR3Risk ISource / Cause of RiskThe Council seeks access to resources to develop the enabling infrastructure for economic growth and regeneration.However, in parts of Kent, there is a significant gap between the costs of the infrastructure required to support growth and the Council's ability to secure sufficient funds through s106 contributions, Community Infrastructure Levy and other growth levers to pay for it. This is especially the case in the east of the county.At the same time, Government funding for infrastructure (for example via the new Local Growth Fund) is limited and competitive and increasingly linked with the delivery of housing and employment outputs.Several local transport schemes proposed will require preparatory work without knowledge of funding allocation in order to deliver on time.	Risk Event Inability to secure sufficient contributions from development to support growth. Failure to attract sufficient funding via the Local Growth Fund and other public funds to both support the cost of infrastructure and aid economic growth and regeneration.	Consequence Key opportunities for growth missed. The Council finds it increasingly difficult to fund KCC services across Kent and deal with the impact of growth on communities. Kent becomes a less attractive location for inward investment and business. Without growth the county residents will have less disposable income, face increased levels of unemployment and deprivation which could lead to heightened social and community tensions. Our ability to deliver an enabling infrastructure becomes constrained.	Risk Owner Barbara Cooper, Corporate Director Growth, Environment and Transport Responsible Cabinet Member(s): Mark Dance, Development	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Serious (4) Target Residual Impact Serious (4)
Control Title				Control Owner	
Unlocking the Potential prepared as Ke	nt and Medway growth strategy to	secure future Government ir	nfrastructure funds	David Smith, Directo Development	or Economic
KCC's 20 year transport delivery plan, help to facilitate and stimulate economi				Paul Crick, Director Planning & Enforcer	
Key infrastructure is identified and plan has been commissioned to develop a G			y Plans, plus work	Paul Crick, Director Planning & Enforcer	
Environment Planning & Enforcement a composition of infrastructure plans inclu identified				David Smith, Directo Development / Paul Environment Planni	Crick, Directo

		Enforcement
Coordinated approach in place between Development Investment Team and service	David Smith, Director Economic Development	
Dedicated team in Economic Development in place to lead on major sites across K	David Smith, Director Economic Development	
Economic Development SMT review of "critical" programmes/projects and review of appropriateness and relevance	David Smith, Director Economic Development	
Strong engagement of private sector through Kent and Medway Economic Partner Board and Kent Developer' Group	David Smith, Director Economic Development	
Growth Deal allocation announced, July 2014, allocating funds for specific identifie	d schemes in Kent and Medway	Ross Gill, Economic Strategy & Policy Manager
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Maintain coordinated dialogue with developers, Districts and KCC service directorates	Nigel Smith, Head of Development	April 2015 (review)
Development & delivery of programme of transport interventions to deliver growth to utilise first round of Local Growth Fund monies	Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning and Policy	April 2015
Development of Growth and Infrastructure Framework for Kent & Medway – to set out infrastructure requirements to support growth across Kent to 2031	David Smith, Director Economic Development	May 2015

Appendix 2



GROWTH, ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT DIRECTORATE RISK REGISTER

MARCH 2015

Summary Risk Profile

Low = 1-6	Medium = 8-15	High =16-25
-----------	---------------	-------------

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Change since July 2014	Target Risk Rating
GET 01	Delivery of budgets targets	15	ţ	10
GET 02	Health & Safety considerations	10	ţ	10
GET 03	Partner organisations/contractors not offering the required level of service	9	仓	6
GET 04	Ash Dieback	12	¢	9
GET 05	Response and resilience to severe weather incidents	15	¢	8
GET 08	Skills shortage and capacity issues to manage contracts and projects	12	NEW	6
GET 09 (DRAFT)	Loss of ICT systems	TBC	NEW	TBC

*Each risk is allocated a unique code, which is retained even if a risk is transferred off the Directorate Register. Therefore there will be some 'gaps' between risk IDs.

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

	Likelihood & Impact Scales						
Likelihood	Very Unlikely (1)	Unlikely (2)	Possible (3)	Likely (4)	Very Likely (5)		
Impact	Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Significant (3)	Serious (4)	Major (5)		

Risk ID GET 01 Risk	Title Delivery of budget targe	ets 2015/16			
Source / Cause of risk Financial challenges facing services across the directorate. For example a number of services across the directorate rely on significant external funding, grants and partner contributions in order to provide their services. Demand for some services can also fluctuate.	Risk Event There is a risk that budget targets are not met, including the risk of greater than planned for reduction or cessation of external funding and grants, or reduced funding.	Consequence Insufficient budget or an overspend. Lack of funding to deliver key transport and waste improvements. Reputational damage. Overspend could impact on other parts of the Authority.	Risk Owner GET Directorate Management Team	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Major (5) Target Residual Impact Major (5)
Control Title				Control Owner	
Regular monitoring of fees through but	dget process.			GET Directorate Management Team	
Financial forecasting and intelligence a applications group to gauge possible in	Sharon Thompson, Head of Planning Applications				
External funding team in place to support KCC officers in identifying and accessing external funding in line with strategic outcomes.				Katie Stewart, Deputy Director, Economic Devt / Ron Moyes, Head of International Affairs	
A 3-5 year forecast to incorporate future completed.	Roger Wilkin, Interim Director of Highways, Transportation & Waste				
KCC Officers regularly review progress	John Farmer, Major Projects Manager				
Collaborative Planning is used for final updates	GET Directorate Management Team				
Full participation in KCC Medium Term	n Financial Plan and financial moni	toring processes.		GET Directorate Ma Team	nagement
Innovative financial models investigate	ed to pay for key projects transport	infrastructure		Paul Crick, Director Planning & Enforcen	

Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Service redesign being planned and delivered across the directorate.	GET Directorate Management Team	31 st March 2016
Transport Review – consideration of business case	Phil Lightowler, Head of Public Transport	October 2015
Libraries Registration & Archives Trust proposal – outcome of public consultation	Angela Slaven, Interim Head Libraries, Registration & Archives	June 2015
Ensure robust scrutiny of Waste contract	Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	October 2015 (review)

Risk ID GET 02 Risk	Title Health & Safety conside	erations			
Source / Cause of risk Services across the directorate need to pay due regard to potential Health and Safety issues due to the nature of the work they undertake.	Risk Event There is a risk of death, or serious injury to the public, KCC staff or contractors, where KCC fails to take all reasonable steps to prevent such an incident.	Consequence Distress to families concerned, possible legal action against the authority and reputational damage.	Risk Owner GET Directorate Management Team	Current Likelihood Unlikely (2) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Major (5) Target Residual Impact Major (5)
Control Title				Control Owner	
Maintain sound Health and Safety sys	tems at waste sites including review	wing accidents and near-mis	ses.	Roger Wilkin, Interin Highways, Transpor	
Staff to follow Health and Safety legisl	ation and guidance			GET Directorate Ma Team	nagement
Regular reporting of accident data and H&S updates to Senior managers.				GET Directorate Management Team	
Regular risk assessments of all Directorate sites and hazards				GET Directorate Management Team	
Lone working system operated by contact centre staff				Christopher Smith, Head of Contac Centre/GET Directorate Management Team	
EPE Divisional Health and Safety grou	up in place and meets quarterly and	d reports to Divisional Manag	ement Team.	EPE Divisional Man	agement Tear
Systems in place in Highways division partnerships	to facilitate the agreed joint proced	dures through the CaRe and	Kent Police	Tim Read, Head of	Fransportation
Killed and Seriously injured (KSI) on read and training campaigns delivered.	oads data regularly analysed by the	e Highways Team and Educa	tion. Publicity	Tim Read, Head of	Fransportation
Highways - Crash remedial sites are in	dentified and rectified.			Tim Read, Head of	Fransportation
Regular testing for hazards e.g. tree s	urveys.			GET Directorate Ma Team	nagement
To ensure recommendations of the ind	dependent Health and Safety review	w are monitored and improve	d as required	Roger Wilkin, Interin Highways, Transpor	

Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Health & Safety audit commissioned to incorporate all waste sites run by new contractor	Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	June 2015
Ensure Health & Safety records from waste contractors are captured.	Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	June 2015
Further H&S training planned through the Kent Resource Partnership (KRP)	Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	31 st March 2015

Risk ID GET 03 Risk Title Partner Organisati	ons/contractors not offering the requi	red level of service.	
Source / Cause of riskRisk EventKCC - including services across the GET directorate, work closely with partners and contractors to provide its services to the people of KentPartner organisations or contractors do not provide t required level of service to t public.	Efficient/good value for GET he money/high quality Direct	agement	Current Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact
		Unlikely (2)	Significant (3)
Control Title		Control Owner	
Waste management - robust contract management and client functi	on.	Roger Wilkin, Interim Highways, Transporta	
Waste Management - Rigorous programme of pre-qualification cheored deliver.		Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	
Service Level Agreements are put in place where services are prov	David Beaver, Comm Manager	David Beaver, Commercial Manager	
Amey to produce a monthly performance report showing QPM result		Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	
Partners have business continuity plans, risk registers, performance place		Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	
Monitoring of outcomes from Regional Growth Fund loans.	David Smith, Director Development	David Smith, Director Economic Development	
Transport Integration - risk analysis conducted as part of individual	Stephen Pay, Transp Manager	ort Integration	
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion	Date
Ensure robust monitoring and enforcement of improvement plan wit highways contractor	h Roger Wilkin, Interim Director of H Transportation & Waste	lighways, June 2015 (re	eview)
Strengthen approach to managing contracts across the directorate	Growth, Environment & Transport Directorate Management Team	March 2016 (i	review)

Risk ID GET 04 Risk	Fitle Ash Dieback					
Source / Cause of risk Instances of Ash Dieback (Hymenoscyphus fraxineus) disease have been discovered within the county. The outbreak is not widespread and research during the last 12 months indicates that although it is still prevalent in the east of the County, the disease is not spreading at a rate that was anticipated.	Risk Event There is a risk that significant numbers of ash trees may be affected by this disease in Kent. Ash is the most widespread tree species in Kent.	Consequence Large areas of woodland and individual trees may become infected, but as the rate of spread is much slower than anticipated the impact on budgets and services is likely to be much less severe than originally anticipated.	Risk Owner Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement	Current Likelihood Likely (4) Target Residual Likelihood Possible (3)	Current Impact Significant (3 Target Residual Impact Significant (3	
Control Title				Control Owner		
Local Strategic Co-ordinating Group established.					Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning & Policy / Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement	
Interim bio-security precautions established and ratified by UK Chief Plant Health Officer					Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning & Policy / Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement	
Interim Hymenoscyphus fraxineus control Plan published by DEFRA					Mike Overbeke, Head of Public Protection	
Multi-agency "Gold" strategy developed	d to manage the response in Kent,	agreed by all parties and pu	blished.	Ann Carruthers, He Planning & Policy / Director Environme Enforcement	Paul Crick,	
Direct link set up between KCC, DEFRA, the Food and Environment Research Agency (FERA), Forestry Commission and local partners in Kent to ensure a consistent approach in dealing with the outbreak.			Mike Overbeke, Head of Public Protection / Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement			
Ash Dieback summit held in Kent, featuring national and International experts and other interested parties.			Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning & Policy / Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement			
Local multi-agency plan developed to i	mplement the key actions in the lo	cal gold strategy and the Def	ra interim	Mike Overbeke, He	ad of Public	
Version: 1.08					page 16 of 2	

Hymenoscyphus fraxineus Control Plan	Protection / Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement	
Communication Strategy presented to Strategic Co-ordination Group and	Mike Overbeke, Head of Public Protection / Paul Crick, Director Environment, Planning & Enforcement	
"Managing Chalara Ash Dieback in Kent" guidance published and circula	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager	
Briefings provided to CMT, Cabinet re the current position of spread and	Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning & Policy / Paul Crick, Director Environment, Planning & Enforcement	
Dynamic monitoring of Forestry Commission outbreak mapping taking pla	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager	
Public Rights of Way staff and their network of Countryside Partnerships, out for outbreaks across Kent	Kate Phillips, Countryside Partnerships Manager	
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Further briefings anticipated to be delivered to Senior Management and Members during 2015	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager	31 st October 2015

Risk ID GET 05 Risk	Title Response and Resilie	ence to Severe Weather incide	ents			
Source / Cause of risk The number of severe weather events affecting the county has increased in the past few years, which can have a significant impact on all GET services, businesses and the Kent community. A number of services within the directorate play an important role in planning for, and responding to, these events.	Risk Event Failure by key services to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage these events when they occur.	Consequence Excessive damage/congestion/ closed roads following severe weather leading to disruption to the public of Kent including KCC staff. This in turn would impact on key services being delivered by the directorate and reputational damage for KCC if responses are judged to be inadequate.	Risk Owner Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement John Burr, Director Highways, Transportation & Waste	Current Likelihood Very Likely (5) Target Residual Likelihood Likely (4)	Current Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact Moderate (2)	
Control Title	Control Owner					
Winter Policy in place each year					Andrew Loosemore, Head of Highways Operations	
Support gained from the local community who undertake snow ploughing					Andrew Loosemore, Head of Highways Operations	
Priority salting routes agreed and put	Andrew Loosemore, Head of Highways Operations					
Local Emergency Plans agreed and published with districts/borough councils.				Andrew Loosemore, Head of Highways Operations		
Carry out a lessons learnt review after each winter				Andrew Loosemore Andrew Loosemore, Head of Highways Operations		
Growth, Environment and Transport services are involved in the recovery efforts relating to the Christmas and New Year floods. The multi-agency Tactical Coordinating Group that oversees the management of recovery operations is chaired by the Flood Recovery Manager.				Paul Crick, Director Environment, Planning & Enforcement		
Training is available and being rolled	out at strategic, tactical and opera	ational level		Tony Harwood, Re Emergencies Mana Carruthers, Head o Planning & Policy	iger / Ann	

Business Continuity Plans are kept under constant review	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager / Ann Carruthers, Head of Strategic Planning & Policy	
Emergency Conditions reserve has been replenished due to receipt of Go	Mike Overbeke, Head of Public Protection	
Local Flood Risk Strategy delivered and Flood Risk Management Plan in	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager	
Senior Management on-call rota devised and now in place	Paul Crick, Director Environment Planning & Enforcement	
Severe Weather Impacts Monitoring System (SWIMS) now in use to supplevents	Carolyn McKenzie, Head of Sustainable Business and Communities	
Operation Loki Business Continuity Exercise conducted,		Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Recommendations from the Winter Flooding Plan to be delivered	Mike Overbeke, Head of Public Protection / Sarah Anderson, Flood Risk and Natural Environment Manager	31 st March 2016
Conduct regular exercises and rehearsal of BC plans – where there would be significant impact on welfare or business reputation	Tony Harwood, Resilience & Emergencies Manager	31 st March 2016

Source / Ca	use of risk	Risk Event	pacity issues to manage con Consequence	Risk Owner	Current	Current
Funding has major infras funding is be Essex CC (of East Local E and detailed required to b	s been received to delive tructure projects. The eing administered by on behalf of the South Enterprise Partnership), I business cases are be completed to obtain through Essex CC.		Funding may not be forthcoming if suitable business cases are not presented, however, even when the funding has	GET Directorate Management Team	Likelihood Likely (4) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact Significant (3)
Control Titl	e				Control Owner	
An Organisa suitable train		n has been prepared in order to dev	elop talents within the Authorit	y and to deliver	GET Directorate M Team	anagement
Growth, Environment & Transport Portfolio Board established to monitor key risks and issues			Barbara Cooper, Corporate Director Growth, Environment & Transport			
Local Growth Fund Project and Steering Group established			Mary Gillett, Major Projects Planning Manager			
Workforce planning exercise conducted with Highways, Transportation & Waste division to identify gaps in relation to critical roles and recommendations for action and next steps			Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Highways, Transportation & Waste			
Action Title			Action Owner		Planned Completion Date	
Consultation is taking place with Amey to establish if they have sufficient suitably trained staff to take on project management roles		Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Transportation & Waste	or Highways,	30 th June 2015		
Deliver an Institute of Civil Engineers (ICE) –accredited civil engineering graduate scheme.		Roger Wilkin, Interim Director Transportation & Waste	or Highways,	30 th September 2015		
0 0						

Environment redesign project

& Enforcement

Risk ID	GET09 (DRAFT)	Risk Title	Loss of ICT sys	tems (risk assessment in p	orogress)		
In order to in the services number of 10 developed t critical to the Systems su Cittrix, Atriu CALM and 0 external par new telepho	ause of risk mprove the efficiency of s within the Directorate a CT systems have been that in time have become e delivery of the services. ch as WAMS, SWIMS, m, Spydus, CaRa, RON, CAMS all rely on KCC or thers. In addition the one system is reliant upon orking internet system in erate.	may take plac on the operati of our critical s	t that an incident e that will impact on of one or more systems causing a suspension of the ted.	Consequence Depending upon the nature of the disruption it is possible that the public of Kent will be affected and it would result in a delay in our service delivery. This would have an impact on the reputation of the Authority and in an extreme example could impact on the safety of the public.	Risk Owner Barbara Cooper, Corporate Director GET GET Directorate Management Team	Current Likelihood TBC Target Residual Likelihood TBC	Current Impact TBC Target Residual Impact TBC
Control Titl	le					Control Owner	
Business Continuity Plans are in place and highlight critical systems				GET Directorate Management Team			
Information backed up daily by ICT and back-ups held off-site				GET Directorate Management Team			
Action Title)			Action Owner		Planned Completio	on Date
	with ICT around the optior run on PAB machines	ns to ensure tha	at Atrium can	Sharon Thompson, Head o Applications	f Planning	31 st March 2015	
	ons to Business Continuity lanagement Team	Plans to reflect	changes to	Paul Crick, Director Enviror Enforcement	ment Planning &	31 st March 2015	